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**The MSU Philosophy**

Promotion and tenure is a key branch point in a faculty member’s life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSU attempts to hire the best faculty on the market who we are confident can be great professors. We strive to provide a supportive environment, so that they have every opportunity for successful reappointment, promotion and tenure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrators play a critical role in supporting faculty success.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Annual Memorandum on “Appointment, Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some selected quotes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure

- At MSU, faculty are expected to be both active scholars and student-focused educators, demonstrating substantial scholarship and ability to promote learning through our on-campus and off-campus education and research programs.

- MSU is committed to improve continuously. To do so means vigorous, effective recruitment and selection of new faculty who are encouraged and helped to grow professionally, through mentoring and development. These new faculty members are evaluated by demanding standards and required procedures for reappointment, tenure, and promotion recommendations. Our expectation is that they will thrive at MSU, as well as in the changing national and international landscape.
Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure (slide 2)

• Our policies, procedures, criteria, and decisions on recruitment, reappointment, award of tenure, promotions, and salary changes must be guided by the goal of enhancing academic excellence, taking into account the mission and goals of the department, school, college, and University, including the MSU commitment to diversity and inclusion. Departments/schools and colleges are required to review regularly their standards, criteria, and procedures to this end. These personnel decisions, in large measure, will determine MSU’s reputation and prominence for many years to come.
Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure (slide 3)

- Faculty must be both active scholars and student-focused educators and must meet academic standards that assure enhanced quality of the unit for years to come.
- Individual personnel actions recommending tenure should result in the continuing excellence of the academic unit(s) as a whole and MSU more broadly. For example, anyone considered for tenure should meet or exceed the requirements of the unit for tenure and be in the top echelon of peers at a similar career stage nationally or internationally in the field or discipline. The achievement and performance level required must be competitive with faculties of leading research-intensive, land-grant universities of international scope. (comparison is important)
Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure (slide 4)

• Assessment should take into account the quality of outcomes as well as their quantity; it should also acknowledge the creativity of faculty effort and its impact on students, on others the University serves, and on the field(s) in which the faculty member works.

• In many cases, faculty demonstrate excellence through individual scholarly activities. Collaborative scholarly efforts, cross-disciplinary activities, and the integration of scholarship into the creation, application, and dissemination of knowledge are also recognized as relevant dimensions of faculty performance.
Key Policy Issues

1. The norm – One 4 year and one 3 year probationary appointment for assistant professors
   - Associate professors may be hired with one probationary appointment, usually 2-4 years.
   - Starting date of the “tenure clock” is August 16, regardless of when during the calendar year the appointment is effective.
THE REVIEW PROCESS

- Department level committee makes recommendation to chair or school director
- Chair independently makes a recommendation to the dean
- The dean is advised by a college review committee
- The dean independently makes a recommendation to the provost
THE REVIEW PROCESS (Continued)

Representatives of the provost meet with each dean – Associate Provost AHR, SVPRGS, and a distinguished MSU faculty member -- to review each case

Provost meets with representatives and formulates recommendations for President and Board of Trustees
For the Health Colleges – CHM, COM, CON

• With the creation of the position of Executive Vice President for Health Sciences, and the change in the reporting relationship of the human health colleges to the EVP:
  • Deans will make recommendations to the Provost and EVP
  • EVP will meet with dean and provost representatives
  • Provost and EVP will confer and make joint recommendations for reappointment, promotion, and tenure
Key Policy Issues (slide 2)

• “A recommendation for promotion from assistant professor to associate professor in the tenure system should be based on several years of sustained, outstanding achievements in education and scholarship across the mission, consistent with performance levels expected for promotion to associate professor at peer universities. A reasonably long period in rank before promotion is usually necessary to provide a basis in actual performance for predicting capacity to become an expert of national stature and long-term, high-quality professional achievement.”
Key Policy Issues (slide 3)

• Extending the Tenure Clock
  • The tenure system probationary appointment for the next reappointment/promotion/tenure review is extended automatically for one year for the following reasons:
    • Leaves of absence with or without pay that are one semester to twelve months.
    • Changes in appointment to 50% time or less for one year.
    • An extension recommended as an outcome of a hearing and/or appeal conducted pursuant to the Faculty Grievance Policy.
Key Policy Issues (slide 4)

• Extending the Tenure Clock (cont’d)
  • The tenure system probationary appointment for the next reappointment/promotion/tenure review is extended automatically upon faculty request for one year for the birth or adoption of a child.
    • Extensions for this reason are limited to two separate one-year extensions during the entire probationary period. The request for an automatic one-year extension for the birth or adoption of a child must be submitted within two years of the birth/adoption, but no later than the due date for the submission to the department/school of the dossier for the next reappointment/promotion/tenure review.
Key Policy Issues (slide 5)

• Additional extensions of the probationary appointment may be requested from the University Committee on Faculty Tenure (UCFT) for reasons related to:
  • childbirth, adoption, the care of an ill and/or disabled child, spouse, or parent;
  • personal illness;
  • to receive prestigious awards, fellowships, and/or special assignment opportunities; or
  • other such serious constraints.
Key Policy Issues (slide 6)

• **Note:** Receipt of an automatic extension for any of the reasons above **does not** preclude consideration for reappointment or promotion with tenure at the normal time. However, if the extension for the first probationary appointment is waived by the faculty member, the extension does not carry over to the second probationary appointment. In addition, a faculty member is bound to the outcome of the reappointment review if unsuccessful.
Key Policy Issues (slide 7)

• Criteria and procedures must be examined locally.
  • Get copies of standards, procedures, etc.
  • Review college and/or unit mentoring policy
  • Review your letter of appointment
  • Joint appointments
    • Review Multiple Appointment Memorandum
  • Annual performance reviews
  • Conferring with peer advisory committee before a recommendation is forwarded
  • Points of Distinction provides a framework for outreach
Key Policy Issues (slide 8)

- Evaluation of teaching, research/creative activities, and service
- External letters of reference
- College-level committee
- Role of central administration
- Form D
- WorkLife@msu.edu
- Resources/checklist – “Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure Toolkit – A Resource for Tenure System Faculty at Michigan State University”, developed with our NSF Advance Grant
Rule #1

TALK TO YOUR CHAIR/DIRECTOR & MENTOR(S)
University Level Peer Review Committee for Tenure

Faculty Role in the Process

Brian T. Pentland
Main Street Capital Partners Endowed Professor
Department of Accounting and Information Systems
Broad College of Business
Faculty Committee Make-up

12 faculty members
   “all distinguished”

4 sub-committees

My sub-committee had members from:
   Business, Social Science, Education

We reviewed Business, Com Arts, Education,
James Madison, Law, and Social Science
Faculty committee

We review and discuss all materials provided by the Dean to the Provost:
• Form D (includes faculty advisory votes)
• Annual Performance Reviews
• External Letters and related information

We participate in tenure system process only
The Big Meeting

One faculty representative joins the meeting with
• College Dean (Associate Dean may also attend)
• Associate Provost for Academic HR
• Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies

Each candidate for promotion to Associate or Full Professor is discussed

Faculty thoughts are shared, but we are advisory
The Faculty Role

• Seek to have a progressively stronger faculty
• Seek to maintain integrity of the process
• Independent Faculty Review
  • Faculty voice outside a candidate’s community
• Impact on decision making?
  • Not likely on any one candidate
  • Over time… ?
• Promote a university-wide understanding of the process and the standards
Tracking and Documenting your Accomplishments and Preparing Your Reflective Essay

Ann E. Austin
Interim Associate Provost for Faculty and Academic Staff Development
Academic Advancement Network
Please see Tracking and Documenting Your Accomplishments and Preparing Your Reflective Essay Video (Part I) by Ann Austin
Questions
Please see Tracking and Documenting Your Accomplishments and Preparing Your Reflective Essay Video (Part II) by Ann Austin
Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure Process from the Senior Vice President of Research and Innovation

Stephen Hsu
Senior Vice President for Research and Innovation
Please see Dr. Stephen Hsu, Senior Vice President for Research and Innovation Video
Break

Please take a 15 minute break.
Panel Discussion

• Evangelyn Alocilja, Professor, Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering and College of Agriculture and Natural Resources Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure Committee Member

• Marilyn Amey, Chair, Educational Administration

• Gina Leinninger, Associate Professor, Physiology

• Christopher Long, Dean, College of Arts and Letters

• Ayalla Ruvio, Associate Professor, Department of Marketing
Thank you.

Please take a minute to provide feedback by filling out the program evaluation that has been emailed to you.

Please visit the resource webpage of the Academic Advancement Network website at: